

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-	09/483,537	01/14/2000	Robert D. Wilson	BLO1134-014	8517
	8698	7590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER	
	STANDLEY LAW GROUP LLP 495 METRO PLACE SOUTH			CAMPEN, KELLY SCAGGS	
	SUITE 210	PLACE SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	DUBLIN, OH 43017			3624	
				DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/483,537	WILSON, ROBERT D.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Kelly Campen	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-50</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-26,28,30 and 32-50 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27,29 and 31</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) L Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 1 Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/483,537

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species 1, subspecies B (claims 27, 29 and 31) in Paper No. 17 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 27, 29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemp "Discover Debuts its First Platinum Card, DM News, 1999 in view of Longfield (US 5,724,523).

Art Unit: 3624

Kemp discloses a system for distributing spending vehicles comprising: a refund; an individual entitled to receive a payment; a spending valicle; a sponsor of the spending vehicle; and an assignment of the right to receive at least a portion of the payment related to the refund form the individual to the sponsor, wherein the spending vehicle is issued from the sponsor to the individual in an amount based upon a value of the assignment of the right to receive the at least a port of the payment related to the refund (see paragraphs 2, 4) but does not disclose wherein the refund is a tax refund from a government entity. Longfield teaches an electronic Income tax refund system utilizing the tax refund to underwrite issuance of a secured credit card (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at he time the invention was made to use the tax refund in Longfield as payments rights to be used in the method of Kemp. Kemp discloses the use of a credit card to issue refunds and Longfield is teaching the use of a tax refund to underwrite a credit card, both are payment rights.

Specifically as to claim 29, wherein the amount of the spending vehicle exceeds the value of the assignment of the right to receive the at least a portion of the payment related to the tax refund, see Kemp, paragraph 4, line 4 "receiving a gift certificate worth double the 1% reward".

Specifically as to claim 31, see above rejection for claim 29.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Longfield (5963921) discloses an electronic income tax refund early payment system with means for creating of a new deposit account for receipt of an electronically transferred refund form the IRS.

Application/Control Number: 09/483,537

Art Unit: 3624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Campen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Kelly S. Campen

AU 3624